

# Two Heads Are Better than One: Integrating Incomplete Information with Imperfect Advice

**Submission ID** 3000134  
**Submission Type** Poster  
**Topic** Cognitive Science  
**Status** Submitted  
**Submitter** Natalia Vélez  
**Affiliation** Stanford University, Department of Psychology

## SUBMISSION DETAILS

**Presentation Type** Either Poster or Oral Presentation

**Presentation Abstract Summary** Decision-makers rarely have complete information about the world. Social learning can help us make better decisions, but the quality of others' advice also varies depending on their access to information. Thus, integrating our incomplete knowledge of the world with imperfect advice from others is a critical challenge for social learning. Here, we explore how people make better decisions under uncertainty by selectively leveraging social advice depending on others' information access. Participants played a simple game where they chose between a card of known value and a card of a hidden value. Participants' decisions were influenced both by the value of the known card and by advice provided by an advisor. Critically, they selectively leveraged the advice depending on whether the advisor had complete, partial, or no access to information about the cards. We describe a Bayesian model that aligns closely with human decisions, and discuss our hypotheses about neural computations that might support the integration of private information and social advice.

**Paper Upload (PDF)** [CCN 2017 Final.pdf](#)

## Co-author Information

\* Presenting Author

First Name	Last Name	Affiliation	E-mail
Natalia *	Vélez *	Stanford University, Department of Psychology	nvelez@stanford.edu
Hyowon	Gweon	Stanford University, Department of Psychology	hyo@stanford.edu

## Keywords

**Keywords**

Social decision-making

Theory of Mind

Bayesian inference